

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 11-14 are pending. By this response, claim 1 is amended and claims 2-10 and 15-20 are cancelled. Reconsideration and allowance based on the above amendments and following remarks are respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejects claims 1 and 11-14 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Vanderwilt, et al. (US 6,693,661). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 as amended recites, *inter alia*, a projector comprising: a main body forming a casing of the projector, the main body including therein: an optical engine...a circuit section...a power source...a network interface...and a hub which is connected to the network interface.

Claim 1 has been amended to clarify the term “main body” as representing the casing of the projector. In embodiments of the present invention, a projector produces an image by an optical engine while also including a network interface and a hub, all of which is enclosed within the casing or main body of the projector.

In contrast, Vanderwilt teaches a system in which a VCU 102 acts as a hub for processing data. The VCU does not perform projection of an image and therefore is not a projector. The projection of an image is performed by a different device which is separate from the VCU. Thus, the VCU relies on other devices to form the projection of an image and does not include “an optical engine” as claimed. Vice versa, the projection device in Vanderwilt’s teachings relies on the VCU to perform the actions of the network interface and network hub. The VCU and the projection device in Vanderwilt are two separate devices performing distinct operations. Therefore, the VCU and the projection device are not included in a main body that forms a casing of the projector. Thus, applicants respectfully submit that Vanderwilt fails to teach the

above recited features of independent claim 1. Therefore, each and every feature of independent claim 1 is not taught by Vanderwilt as required. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are respectfully requested.

Conclusion

For at least these reasons, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1 and 11-14 are distinguishable over the cited art. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Chad J. Billings (Reg. No. 48,917) at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: December 29, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Michael R. Cammarata
Registration No.: 39,491
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP
8110 Gatehouse Road
Suite 100 East
P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000
Attorney for Applicant